


EU Climate Leader Board

Where countries stand on the Effort Sharing Regulation - Europe's largest climate tool




March 2017



RATING:



INDEX CATEGORIES



**The ranking is based on a system of points for the different elements of the proposal which are weighted against their importance. The countries' positions come from public documents, declarations by ministries and papers submitted to the Working Party on Environment. No country is doing enough to make the Effort Sharing Regulation compatible with the Paris Agreement.*

Methodology used to rank the position of Member States¹:

Index category	Ranking points
Starting point	0 points: supports weakening the Commission proposal 7 points: supports keeping the Commission proposal 21 points: supports slightly strengthening the Commission proposal (capping and/or banking) 35 points: supports significantly strengthening the Commission proposal (earlier trajectory)
Land use loophole	0 points: supports increasing the land use loophole or the inclusion of forest management offsets 4 points: supports keeping the Commission proposal 12 points: supports reducing the size of the land use loophole 20 points: supports removing the land use loophole
ETS surplus loophole	0 points: supports increasing the ETS loophole or increasing the number of eligible countries 2 points: supports keeping the Commission proposal 6 points: supports decreasing the size of the ETS surplus loophole 8 points: supports removing the ETS surplus loophole
Governance	0 points: supports keeping the Commission proposal 1 point: supports compliance checks more often than 5-yearly or financial penalties non-compliance 2 points: supports yearly compliance checks and financial penalties for non-compliance
Ambition level	0 points: wants to reduce its 2030 climate target 7 points: supports its 2030 target in the Commission proposal 14 points: supports a higher domestic 2030 target 14 points: supports long-term climate targets 35 points: supports a higher domestic 2030 target and an ambitious long-term climate target
Maximum points: 100	

1. The points are based on the relative importance of each index category for the overall environmental integrity of the Effort Sharing Regulation.